

✓ RECEIVED & FILED

'00 FEB -7 AM 8 02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICOCLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAN JUAN, P.R.YOFI INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs


vs.

CIVIL NO. 98-1751 (JP)

NORMAN S. PANISH, et al.,
DefendantsORDER

MOTION	RULING
<p>Date Filed: January 24, 2000 Docket: # <u>44</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Pliffs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defts Title: Informative Motion Concerning Proceedings in the California Court of Appeal</p>	<p>NOTED. Defendants state the Court that the appeal from the California State trial court's ruling dismissing their complaint against Yofi, Inc. and Sender Shub for lack of personal jurisdiction came on for oral argument before the California Court of Appeal on January 25, 2000 and now stands submitted. According to Defendants, the Court of Appeal agreed with Defendants that the minimum contact requirement over Yofi, Inc. and Sender Shub in California had been satisfied. The Court does not have any documents from the California Court to corroborate Defendants' allegations. Therefore, the Court hereby ORDERS Defendants to provide the Court with the resolutions of the California Court of Appeal within 5 days of becoming available.</p>

Date:

2/2/00

 JAIMÉ PIERAS, JR.
 U.S. Senior District Judge

Rec'd:

EOD:

By:

46